

To: Sarah Gaichas [Sarah.Gaichas@noaa.gov]
Cc: []
Bcc: []
From: CN=Phil North/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Mon 1/4/2010 9:42:31 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Bristol Bay salmon role in North Pacific

That works for me. If you are in Seattle then yes, it is 11 - 12 your time. Will you call me?

Phillip North
Environmental Protection Agency
Kenai River Center
514 Funny River Road
Soldotna, Alaska 99669
(907) 714-2483
fax 260-5992
north.phil@epa.gov

"To protect your rivers, protect your mountains."

From: Sarah Gaichas <Sarah.Gaichas@noaa.gov>
To: Phil North/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/04/2010 12:28 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Bristol Bay salmon role in North Pacific

Wednesday works fine for me. Want to talk between 10-11 (your time, which I think is between 11 and noon my time)?
--S

North.Phil@epamail.epa.gov wrote:

> I will be out of the office all day tomorrow. How about Wednesday? I
> am free except 9:30 - 10 and 11 - 12.

>
> Thanks

>
> Phillip North
> Environmental Protection Agency
> Kenai River Center
> 514 Funny River Road
> Soldotna, Alaska 99669
> (907) 714-2483
> fax 260-5992
> north.phil@epa.gov

>
> "To protect your rivers, protect your mountains."

>
>
>
> From: Sarah Gaichas <Sarah.Gaichas@noaa.gov>
>
> To: Phil North/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
>

> Date: 01/04/2010 11:23 AM
 >
 > Subject: Re: Fw: Bristol Bay salmon role in North Pacific
 >
 >
 >
 >
 >
 >
 > Hi Phil,
 >
 > I'm happy to try and help, but would tomorrow work? I'm teleworking
 > today, dont have access to all of my files, and have a review deadline.
 > Since this sounds like more of a Bering Sea issue and I am most familiar
 >
 > with the GOA, it would be good to try to answer you with some Bering Sea
 >
 > information in front of me.... thats all at the office.
 >
 > Would around 10 am tomorrow work? Or let me know a time that works
 > better. My number is 206 526 4554.
 >
 > Thanks,
 > --Sarah
 >
 > North.Phil@epamail.epa.gov wrote:
 >
 >> Hi Sarah,
 >> Can you help me out? If you send a phone number I'll call and explain
 >> my effort. Anything you can offer would be helpful.
 >>
 >> Thanks
 >> Phil
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >> Phillip North
 >> Environmental Protection Agency
 >> Kenai River Center
 >> 514 Funny River Road
 >> Soldotna, Alaska 99669
 >> (907) 714-2483
 >> fax 260-5992
 >> north.phil@epa.gov
 >>
 >> "To protect your rivers, protect your mountains."
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >
 >
 >> From: "Daniel Schindler" <deschind@u.washington.edu>
 >>
 >
 >

>
>
>> To: <sarah.gaichas@noaa.gov>
>>
>
>
>
>
>> Cc: Phil North/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
>>
>
>
>
>> Date: 12/31/2009 12:12 PM
>>
>
>
>
>> Subject: Fw: Bristol Bay salmon role in North Pacific
>>
>
>
>
>>
>>
>>
>> Hi Sarah,
>> I intended for you to receive this message but mis-typed your email.
>> Daniel
>>
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "Daniel Schindler" <deschind@u.washington.edu>
>> To: <wgpearcy@coas.oregonstate.edu>; <North.Phil@epamail.epa.gov>
>> Cc: "Kerim Aydin" <Kerim.Aydin@noaa.gov>; <sarah.gachias@noaa.gov>
>> Sent: Thursday, December 31, 2009 1:07 PM
>> Subject: Re: Bristol Bay salmon role in North Pacific
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> Hi Phil,
>>>
>>> I suspect that at the scale of fish biomass in the North Pacific, or
>>>
>>>
>> even
>>
>>
>>> Bering and Gulf of AK, that this number will end up being tiny. The
>>>
>>>
>> person

>>
>>
>>> to get in touch with to put your estimate in perspective is Kerim
>>>
>>>
>> Aydin at
>>
>>
>>> the Alaska Fisheries Science Center. His group has developed a bunch
>>>
>>>
>> of
>>
>>
>>> Ecosim models for these ecosystems so they should be able to tell you
>>>
>>>
>> who
>>
>>
>>> the main predators for salmon smolts are, and how much of their diets
>>>
>>>
>> are
>>
>>
>>> smolts. Sarah Gachias also works with this group and certainly has
>>>
> the
>
>>
>>> answer as well.
>>>
>>> Cheers and good luck - all data to show that the Pebble Mine is an
>>> environmental (and social) mistake are needed!
>>> Daniel
>>>
>>>
>>> ----- Original Message -----
>>> From: <North.Phil@epamail.epa.gov>
>>> To: <wgpearcy@coas.oregonstate.edu>; <deschind@u.washington.edu>
>>> Sent: Thursday, December 31, 2009 9:34 AM
>>> Subject: Fw: Bristol Bay salmon role in North Pacific
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Bill and Daniel,
>>>> As you can see in the message string below Bob Naiman gave me your
>>>> names. I am trying to describe the likely consequences of various
>>>> scenarios of impact should the Pebble Mine be developed in the
>>>>
>>>>
>> Bristol
>>
>>

>>>> Bay watershed. As described below, based on ADFG data and
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >> assumptions I
 >>
 >>
 >>>> have estimated that the Nushagak and Kvichak river systems produced
 >>>> about 1.6 billion smolts from the 2008 salmon run. About 1.57
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >> billion
 >>
 >>
 >>>> of these fish will not return and so are forage for something in the
 >>>> North Pacific and Bering Sea. But I have no sense of the
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >> significance
 >>
 >>
 >>>> of that number of fish in the ocean ecosystem. I am trying to
 >>>>
 > answer
 >
 >>>> the question "If there was a substantial loss from the out-migration
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >> of
 >>
 >>
 >>>> Nushagak and Kvichak salmon what would be the effect on the North
 >>>> Pacific and Bering Sea ecosystem(s)?"
 >>>>
 >>>> My background is in fresh water systems. Can you direct me to any
 >>>> literature that might help answer the question or can you help me
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >> answer
 >>
 >>
 >>>> this question?
 >>>>
 >>>> Phil
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>>
 >>>> Phillip North
 >>>> Environmental Protection Agency
 >>>> Kenai River Center
 >>>> 514 Funny River Road
 >>>> Soldotna, Alaska 99669
 >>>> (907) 714-2483
 >>>> fax 260-5992
 >>>> north.phil@epa.gov
 >>>>
 >>>> "To protect your rivers, protect your mountains."

>>>>> One of my duties is the review of environmental impacts of the
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >> Pebble
 >>
 >>
 >>>>> Mine in the Bristol Bay watershed. In my attempt to determine
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >> likely
 >>
 >>
 >>>>> outcomes of mine development I have tried to project the
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >> consequences
 >>
 >>
 >>>>> of
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>> various levels of upset at the mine, in various time frames. In
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >> doing
 >>
 >>
 >>>>> so I estimated the number of smolts produced by the Nushagak and
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>> Kvichak
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >>>>> Rivers. I am not aware of any monitoring of smolts so I employed
 >>>>> assumptions and estimates used by ADFG to manage the fishery. I
 >>>>> projected that, based on 2008 escapement estimates (28 million) and
 >>>>> smolt survival assumptions (10%), these two watersheds produced 1.6
 >>>>> billion smolts.
 >>>>>
 >>>>> This seems like a big number. But now I am trying to figure out
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >> what
 >>
 >>
 >>>>> this number means to the North Pacific ecosystem (including the
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >> Bering
 >>
 >>
 >>>>> Sea). I don't know how this number of fish relates to the overall
 >>>>> population of "forage fish". In turn I don't know the consequences
 >>>>>
 >>>>>
 >> of

[illegible]